

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ext. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/635,235		08/09/2000	Minoru Noguchi	P107348-00032	P107348-00032 5055	
4372	7590	07/01/2003				
	_	NER PLOTKIN	EXAMINER			
SUITE 400		Γ AVENUE, N.W	7.	VIJAYAKUMAR, K	ALLAMBELLA M	
WASHING	TON, DC	20036		ADTIVIT	BARER MIRARER	

1751

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/635,235	NOGUCHI ET AL.	
navioory nodon	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751	
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averally final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply high places the application.	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		•
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	, , , , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
<del></del>			

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The new limitation of amended claim-2, "having a single layer" was not part of limitation of the claims at the Final Rejection, it requires new search and further evaluation in single layer electrodes.

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700